

AGENDA

Meeting **London Assembly (Plenary)**
Date **Wednesday 10 September 2014**
Time **10.00 am**
Place **Chamber, City Hall, The Queen's
Walk, London, SE1 2AA**

Copies of the reports and any attachments may be found at
<http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor-assembly/london-assembly/whole-assembly>

Most meetings of the London Assembly and its Committees are webcast live at
<http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor-assembly/london-assembly/webcasts> where you can also view
past meetings.

A meeting of the Assembly will be held to deal with the business listed below. This meeting will be
open to the public. There is access for disabled people, and induction loops are available.

Roger Evans AM
Chairman of the London Assembly

Jennette Arnold OBE AM
Deputy Chair
Tuesday 2 September 2014

Further Information

If you have questions, would like further information about the meeting or require special facilities
please contact: John Barry, Principal Committee Manager; Telephone: 020 7983 4425; Email:
john.barry@london.gov.uk; Minicom: 020 7983 4458.

For media enquiries please contact: Mark Demery, Tel: 020 7983 5769, Email: mark.demery@london.gov.uk
Minicom: 020 7983 4458.

If you have any questions about individual reports please contact the report author whose details are
at the end of each report.

There is limited underground parking for orange and blue badge holders, which will be allocated on a
first-come first-served basis. Please contact Facilities Management (020 7983 4750) in advance if
you require a parking space or further information.

Proper Officer: Mark Roberts, Executive Director of Secretariat.

If you, or someone you know, needs a copy of the agenda, minutes or reports in large print or Braille, audio, or in another language, then please call us on 020 7983 4100 or email assembly.translations@london.gov.uk.

Si usted, o algùn conocido desea recibir una copia del order del dia, acta o informe en Braille o en su propio idioma, y gratis, no dude en ponerse en contacto con nosotros llamando al teléfano 020 7983 4100 o por correo electrónico: assembly.translations@london.gov.uk.

Se você, ou algüem que conheça precisa uma cópia da ordem do dia, anotações ou relatorios em prensa grande ou Braille, ou em outra lingu, então por favour nos telephone em 020 7983 4100 ou e-mail assembly.translations@london.gov.uk.

Haddii ama ama qof aad taqaanid, uu ugu baahan yahay koobiga ajendhada, haddaladii ama warbixinta in far waaweyn loogu qoro ama farta qofka indoolaha akhrin karo, amaba luuqad kale, fadlan naga soo wac telefoonkan 020 7983 4100 ama email assembly.translations@london.gov.uk.

Ta ba ri enikeni ti o ba ni ife ni eda ewe nla ti igbimo awon asoju tabi papa julo ni ede ti abinibi won, ki o kansiya lori ero ibanisoro. Nomba wa ni 020 7983 4100 tabi ki e kan si wa lori ero assembly.translations@london.gov.uk.

જો તમને અથવા તમે જાણતાં હો તેવી કોઈ વ્યક્તિને એજન્ડા (કાર્યસૂચિ), મિનિટ્સ (ટૂંકી નોંધો) અથવા રિપોર્ટ્સ (અહેવાલો)ની નકલ મોટા અક્ષરોમાં છપાયેલી કે બ્રેઇલમાં અથવા બીજી કોઈ ભાષામાં જોઈતી હોય, તો કૃપા કરીને 020 7983 4100 ઉપર ફોન અથવા assembly.translations@london.gov.uk ઉપર અમને ઈ-મેઇલ કરો.

আপনি বা আপনার পরিচিত কেউ যদি এজেন্ডা, মিনিট বা রিপোর্টের একটি কপি বড় ছাপা বা ব্রেইল অথবা অন্য কোন ভাষায় পেতে চান তবে দয়া করে আমাদেরকে 020 7983 4100 এ নাম্বারে ফোন করুন বা assembly.translations@london.gov.uk এ ই-মেইলে যোগাযোগ করুন।

ਜੇ ਤੁਹਾਨੂੰ ਜਾਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਵਾਕਫ਼ ਕਿਸੇ ਹੋਰ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਨੂੰ, ਏਜੰਡੇ, ਮੀਟਿੰਗ ਦੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਜਾਂ ਰਿਪੋਰਟਾਂ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ, ਵੱਡੇ ਅੱਖਰਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਛਪਾਈ ਜਾਂ ਬਰੇਲ ਦੇ ਰੂਪ ਵਿੱਚ ਜਾਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਹੋਰ ਬੋਲੀ ਵਿੱਚ ਚਾਹੀਦੀ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਕਿਰਪਾ ਕਰਕੇ ਸਾਨੂੰ 020 7983 4100 'ਤੇ ਟੈਲੀਫ਼ੋਨ ਕਰੋ ਜਾਂ ਇਸ ਪਤੇ 'ਤੇ ਈਮੇਲ ਕਰੋ : assembly.translations@london.gov.uk

اگر آپ یا آپ کے جاننے والے کسی فرد کو اس ایجنڈا کی کاپی، تفصیل یا رپورٹیں بڑے پرنٹ یا بریل یا کسی دوسری زبان میں درکار ہوں تو براہ کرم ہمیں 020 7983 4100 پر فون کیجئے یا درج ذیل ای میل پر رابطہ کیجئے assembly.translations@london.gov.uk



Certificate Number: FS 80233

**Agenda
London Assembly (Plenary)
Wednesday 10 September 2014**

1 Apologies for Absence and Chairman's Announcements

To receive any apologies for absence and any announcements from the Chairman.

2 Declarations of Interests (Pages 1 - 4)

The Assembly is recommended to:

- (a) Note the list of offices held by Assembly Members, as set out in the table at Agenda Item 2, as disclosable pecuniary interests;**
- (b) Note the declaration by any Member(s) of any disclosable pecuniary interests in specific items listed on the agenda and the necessary action taken by the Member(s) regarding withdrawal following such declaration(s); and**
- (c) Note the declaration by any Member(s) of any other interests deemed to be relevant (including any interests arising from gifts and hospitality received which are not at the time of the meeting reflected on the Authority's register of gifts and hospitality, and noting also the advice from the GLA's Monitoring Officer set out at Agenda Item 2) and to note any necessary action taken by the Member(s) following such declaration(s).**

3 Minutes (Pages 5 - 28)

The Assembly is recommended to confirm the minutes of the London Assembly (Plenary) meeting held on 16 July 2014 and the minutes of the London Assembly (Mayor's Question Time) meeting held on 23 July 2014 to be signed by the Chairman as correct records.

The appendices to the minutes of the London Assembly (Plenary) meeting held on 16 July 2014 and the London Assembly (Mayor's Question Time) meeting held on 23 July 2014 (including the transcript of the question and answer session with the Deputy Mayor for Education and Culture) have been circulated to Members separately. Transcripts and written answers for past meetings can be downloaded from <http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor-assembly/london-assembly/whole-assembly>

4 Question and Answer Session (Pages 29 - 44)

Part A:

The Assembly will put questions to Boris Johnson, in his capacity as Chairman of Transport for London (TfL), and Sir Peter Hendy CBE, Commissioner, TfL, on the policies and work of TfL.

Part B:

Motion submitted in the name of the Chairman:

“That the Assembly notes the answers to the questions asked.”

5 Future Meetings

London Assembly (Plenary) Meeting – 9 December 2014

The Assembly is requested to confirm the change of date of the Plenary meeting of the Assembly in December from 3 December 2014 to the 9 December 2014, following recent consultation with the Mayor’s Office, the Metropolitan Police Commissioner’s Office and the Assembly’s party Group Leaders, and to confirm that the meeting in December will be used principally to hold a question and answer session with the Mayor and Deputy Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis on policing in London.

Recommendation:

That it be confirmed that the Assembly (Plenary) meeting in December be rescheduled from Wednesday 3 December 2014 at 10am to Tuesday 9 December 2014 at 3pm and that the session be used principally for a question and answer session in relation to policing in London with Boris Johnson, Mayor of London, and Craig Mackey, Deputy Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis.

6 Action Taken Under Delegated Authority (Pages 45 - 50)

Report of: Executive Director of Secretariat

Contact: John Barry; john.barry@london.gov.uk; tel: 020 7983 4425

The Assembly is recommended to note recent action taken by the Chairman of the Assembly, Roger Evans AM, under the GLA’s Standing Order 10.2A(1), namely to invite the Mayor and the Deputy Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis to the Assembly (Plenary) meeting on 9 December 2014.

7 Petition (Pages 51 - 54)

Report of: Executive Director of Secretariat

Contact: John Barry; john.barry@london.gov.uk; tel: 020 7983 4425

The Assembly is recommended to note the petition listed in the report and to decide whether to refer the petition, and if so where to, and to seek a response to the points raised.

8 Motions (Pages 55 - 58)

Report of: Executive Director of Secretariat

Contact: John Barry; john.barry@london.gov.uk; tel: 020 7983 4425

The Assembly is asked to consider the motions submitted by Assembly Members.

9 Date of Next Meeting

The next scheduled meeting of the London Assembly will be the Mayor's Question Time meeting which will take place at 10.00am on Wednesday 17 September 2014 in the Chamber, City Hall.

10 Any Other Business the Chair Considers Urgent

This page is intentionally left blank

Subject: Declarations of Interests

Report to: London Assembly (Plenary)

Report of: Executive Director of Secretariat

Date: 10 September 2014

This report will be considered in public

1. Summary

- 1.1 This report sets out details of offices held by Assembly Members for noting as disclosable pecuniary interests and requires additional relevant declarations relating to disclosable pecuniary interests, and gifts and hospitality to be made.

2. Recommendations

- 2.1 **That the list of offices held by Assembly Members, as set out in the table below, be noted as disclosable pecuniary interests¹;**
- 2.2 **That the declaration by any Member(s) of any disclosable pecuniary interests in specific items listed on the agenda and the necessary action taken by the Member(s) regarding withdrawal following such declaration(s) be noted; and**
- 2.3 **That the declaration by any Member(s) of any other interests deemed to be relevant (including any interests arising from gifts and hospitality received which are not at the time of the meeting reflected on the Authority's register of gifts and hospitality, and noting also the advice from the GLA's Monitoring Officer set out at below) and any necessary action taken by the Member(s) following such declaration(s) be noted.**

3. Issues for Consideration

- 3.1 Relevant offices held by Assembly Members are listed in the table overleaf:

¹ The Monitoring Officer advises that: Paragraph 10 of the Code of Conduct will only preclude a Member from participating in any matter to be considered or being considered at, for example, a meeting of the Assembly, where the Member has a direct Disclosable Pecuniary Interest in that particular matter. The effect of this is that the 'matter to be considered, or being considered' must be about the Member's interest. So, by way of example, if an Assembly Member is also a councillor of London Borough X, that Assembly Member will be precluded from participating in an Assembly meeting where the Assembly is to consider a matter about the Member's role / employment as a councillor of London Borough X; the Member will not be precluded from participating in a meeting where the Assembly is to consider a matter about an activity or decision of London Borough X.

Member	Interest
Tony Arbour AM	Member, LFEPA; Member, LB Richmond
Jennette Arnold OBE AM	Committee of the Regions
Gareth Bacon AM	Member, LFEPA; Member, LB Bexley
John Biggs AM	
Andrew Boff AM	Congress of Local and Regional Authorities (Council of Europe)
Victoria Borwick AM	Member, Royal Borough of Kensington & Chelsea; Deputy Mayor
James Cleverly AM	Chairman of LFEPA; Chairman of the London Local Resilience Forum; substitute member, Local Government Association Fire Services Management Committee
Tom Copley AM	
Andrew Dismore AM	Member, LFEPA
Len Duvall AM	
Roger Evans AM	Committee of the Regions; Trust for London (Trustee)
Nicky Gavron AM	
Darren Johnson AM	Member, LFEPA
Jenny Jones AM	Member, House of Lords
Stephen Knight AM	Member, LFEPA; Member, LB Richmond
Kit Malthouse AM	Deputy Mayor for Business and Enterprise; Deputy Chair, London Enterprise Panel; Chair, Hydrogen London; Chairman, London & Partners; Board Member, TheCityUK
Joanne McCartney AM	
Steve O'Connell AM	Member, LB Croydon; MOPAC Non-Executive Adviser for Neighbourhoods
Caroline Pidgeon MBE AM	
Murad Qureshi AM	Congress of Local and Regional Authorities (Council of Europe)
Dr Onkar Sahota AM	
Navin Shah AM	
Valerie Shawcross CBE AM	Member, LFEPA
Richard Tracey AM	Chairman of the London Waste and Recycling Board; Mayor's Ambassador for River Transport
Fiona Twycross AM	Member, LFEPA

[Note: LB - London Borough; LFEPA - London Fire and Emergency Planning Authority; MOPAC – Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime]

3.2 Paragraph 10 of the GLA's Code of Conduct, which reflects the relevant provisions of the Localism Act 2011, provides that:

- where an Assembly Member has a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest in any matter to be considered or being considered or at
 - (i) a meeting of the Assembly and any of its committees or sub-committees; or
 - (ii) any formal meeting held by the Mayor in connection with the exercise of the Authority's functions
- they must disclose that interest to the meeting (or, if it is a sensitive interest, disclose the fact that they have a sensitive interest to the meeting); and

- must not (i) participate, or participate any further, in any discussion of the matter at the meeting; or (ii) participate in any vote, or further vote, taken on the matter at the meeting

UNLESS

- they have obtained a dispensation from the GLA's Monitoring Officer (in accordance with section 2 of the Procedure for registration and declarations of interests, gifts and hospitality – Appendix 5 to the Code).

- 3.3 Failure to comply with the above requirements, without reasonable excuse, is a criminal offence; as is knowingly or recklessly providing information about your interests that is false or misleading.
- 3.4 In addition, the Monitoring Officer has advised Assembly Members to continue to apply the test that was previously applied to help determine whether a pecuniary / prejudicial interest was arising - namely, that Members rely on a reasonable estimation of whether a member of the public, with knowledge of the relevant facts, could, with justification, regard the matter as so significant that it would be likely to prejudice the Member's judgement of the public interest.
- 3.5 Members should then exercise their judgement as to whether or not, in view of their interests and the interests of others close to them, they should participate in any given discussions and/or decisions business of within and by the GLA. It remains the responsibility of individual Members to make further declarations about their actual or apparent interests at formal meetings noting also that a Member's failure to disclose relevant interest(s) has become a potential criminal offence.
- 3.6 Members are also required, where considering a matter which relates to or is likely to affect a person from whom they have received a gift or hospitality with an estimated value of at least £25 within the previous three years or from the date of election to the London Assembly, whichever is the later, to disclose the existence and nature of that interest at any meeting of the Authority which they attend at which that business is considered.
- 3.7 The obligation to declare any gift or hospitality at a meeting is discharged, subject to the proviso set out below, by registering gifts and hospitality received on the Authority's on-line database. The on-line database may be viewed here:
<http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor-assembly/gifts-and-hospitality>.
- 3.8 If any gift or hospitality received by a Member is not set out on the on-line database at the time of the meeting, and under consideration is a matter which relates to or is likely to affect a person from whom a Member has received a gift or hospitality with an estimated value of at least £25, Members are asked to disclose these at the meeting, either at the declarations of interest agenda item or when the interest becomes apparent.
- 3.9 It is for Members to decide, in light of the particular circumstances, whether their receipt of a gift or hospitality, could, on a reasonable estimation of a member of the public with knowledge of the relevant facts, with justification, be regarded as so significant that it would be likely to prejudice the Member's judgement of the public interest. Where receipt of a gift or hospitality could be so regarded, the Member must exercise their judgement as to whether or not, they should participate in any given discussions and/or decisions business of within and by the GLA.

4. Legal Implications

- 4.1 The legal implications are as set out in the body of this report.

5. Financial Implications

5.1 There are no financial implications arising directly from this report.

Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985
--

List of Background Papers: None

Contact Officer: John Barry, Principal Committee Manager
--

Telephone: 020 7983 4425

E-mail: john.barry@london.gov.uk

MINUTES

Meeting: London Assembly (Plenary)
Date: Wednesday 16 July 2014
Time: 10.00 am
Place: Chamber, City Hall, The Queen's Walk, London, SE1 2AA

Copies of the minutes may be found at: <http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor-assembly/london-assembly/whole-assembly>

Present:

Roger Evans AM (Chairman)	Jenny Jones AM
Jennette Arnold OBE AM (Deputy Chair)	Stephen Knight AM
Tony Arbour AM	Kit Malthouse AM
John Biggs AM	Joanne McCartney AM
Victoria Borwick AM	Caroline Pidgeon MBE AM
James Cleverly AM	Murad Qureshi AM
Tom Copley AM	Dr Onkar Sahota AM
Andrew Dismore AM	Navin Shah AM
Len Duvall AM	Richard Tracey AM
Nicky Gavron AM	Fiona Twycross AM
Darren Johnson AM	

1 Apologies for Absence and Chairman's Announcements (Item 1)

- 1.1 Apologies for absence were received from Andrew Boff AM, Gareth Bacon AM, Steve O'Connell AM and Valerie Shawcross CBE AM.
- 1.2 The Chairman stated that further to consultations with the Mayor's Office, the Metropolitan Police Commissioner's office and the Assembly's party Group Leaders, the Assembly Plenary session on policing in London had provisionally been moved from 3 December to Tuesday, 9 December 2014 at 3pm, with the guests being the Mayor and the Deputy Police Commissioner, Craig Mackey.
- 1.3 The Chairman stated that he would send letters inviting the Mayor and Deputy Police Commissioner to the meeting under the delegated authority set out in Standing Order 10.2A (1).
- 1.4 The Chairman congratulated Stephen Knight AM and his partner on the recent birth of their daughter.
- 1.5 During the debate on Agenda Item 5 (DCLG Consultation on Reform of the Fire Service Decision Making in London), the Chairman welcomed students from Alexandra Park School, London Borough of Haringey, to the meeting.

2 Declarations of Interests (Item 2)

- 2.1 The Assembly received the report of the Executive Director of Secretariat.
- 2.2 **Resolved:**
 - (a) **That the list of offices held by Assembly Members, as set out in the table at Agenda Item 2, be noted as interests;**
 - (b) **Andrew Dismore AM declared a non-pecuniary interest as he was Chair of Trustees of the British Archaeology in London Organisation;**
 - (c) **Kit Malthouse AM declared a non-pecuniary interest as he was a patron of Sadler's Wells;**
 - (d) **Tom Copley AM declared a non-pecuniary interest as he was a board member of Diorama Theatre; and**
 - (e) **Jennette Arnold OBE AM declared an interest as she was a member of the Royal Court Theatre.**

3 Minutes (Item 3)

3.1 Resolved:

That the minutes of the London Assembly (Plenary) meeting held on 18 June 2014 be signed by the Chairman as a correct record.

4 Question and Answer Session - the Cultural Metropolis (Item 4)

Part A:

- 4.1 The Assembly put questions to Munira Mirza, the Deputy Mayor for Education and Culture, on recent updates to the Cultural Metropolis strategy document.
- 4.2 A transcript of the questions put by Members and answers is attached at **Appendix 1**.

Part B:

- 4.3 The Chair formally moved the motion on the agenda, namely:

“That the Assembly notes the answers to the questions asked.”

- 4.4 Fiona Twycross AM moved, and Jennette Arnold AM seconded, an amendment to the proposed motion namely:

“The Assembly regrets that the Mayor of London has chosen to update Cultural Metropolis 2010 rather than work towards replacing the strategy over the course of this Mayoral term. The Assembly believes this is against the spirit of the law as embodied in Section 376 of the Greater London Authority Act 1999 and regrets the desire to circumvent consultation – not only with the Assembly but, crucially, London’s vibrant cultural and creative sectors.

“London is truly a ‘World City’ in its provision of arts and culture offers and education, yet the Mayor’s disinterest in producing and consulting on a new strategy fails to recognise the value of cultural provision in its own right. The Assembly demands the Mayor of London make full use of the powers at his disposal to maintain and promote London’s arts and cultural provision.”

4.5 Upon being put to the vote, the amendment in the name of Fiona Twycross AM, namely:

“The Assembly regrets that the Mayor of London has chosen to update Cultural Metropolis 2010 rather than work towards replacing the strategy over the course of this Mayoral term. The Assembly believes this is against the spirit of the law as embodied in Section 376 of the Greater London Authority Act 1999 and regrets the desire to circumvent consultation – not only with the Assembly but, crucially, London’s vibrant cultural and creative sectors.

“London is truly a ‘World City’ in its provision of arts and culture offers and education, yet the Mayor’s disinterest in producing and consulting on a new strategy fails to recognise the value of cultural provision in its own right. The Assembly demands the Mayor of London make full use of the powers at his disposal to maintain and promote London’s arts and cultural provision.”

was agreed (11 votes cast in favour and 7 against).

5 DCLG Consultation on Reform of Fire Service Decision Making in London (Item 5)

5.1 The Assembly considered the report of the Executive Director of Secretariat.

5.2 In accordance with Standing Order 3.4(B), the Chairman stated that he had received notice of two proposed motions: the first in the name of Fiona Twycross AM and the second in the name of James Cleverly AM. The Chairman stated that he proposed to invite the movers of the two proposed motions to move them in the order that they had been received and that in order to assist business they would be debated concurrently but voted on separately. The Assembly agreed to proceed on that basis.

5.3 Fiona Twycross AM moved, and Andrew Dismore AM seconded a motion, namely:

“This Assembly notes the Mayor’s desire to change decision-making at the London Fire and Emergency Planning Authority (LFEPA) and, in doing so, to reduce the number of elected members LFEPA by four, replacing them with his appointees.

This Assembly believes the Mayor’s proposal jeopardises the democratic integrity of the LFEPA and that it should be elected members accountable to Londoners who make transparent decisions in the interest of everybody in the capital.

Given the threat that the Mayor’s proposals present to democratic decision-making at the LFEPA, and the potential impact this could have on the safety of Londoners, this Assembly calls on the Mayor to withdraw the plans with immediate effect and to work with the Assembly

**Greater London Authority
London Assembly (Plenary)
Wednesday 16 July 2014**

to develop proposals that would provide strong leadership, accountability and democratic representation to improve the provision of the fire and rescue service to Londoners.”

5.4 James Cleverly AM moved, and Tony Arbour AM seconded a motion, namely:

“Dear Secretary of State

This response is submitted on behalf of the London Assembly, to your recently issued consultation “Reform of fire service decision making in London”.

The London Assembly support the proposal that the Secretary of State makes an order under schedule 28 to the Greater London Authority Act 1999 to amend the composition of the membership of the LFEPA as follows:

- the number of Assembly Members be reduced from eight to six;
- the number of London Borough Councillors be reduced from seven to five;
- the number of Mayoral appointees be increased from two to six.

We believe that this will provide an interim solution to the current, and undesirable, confusion between executive and scrutiny role in relation to fire provision in London. This was identified by the recent Communities & Local Government Select Committee report.

We also feel that the current model makes political accountability difficult. Members of the LFEPA do not have a direct, or indeed indirect, mandate from the electorate yet the current structure implies that they do. This causes confusion among Londoners in general, and firefighters in particular, as to who can be held politically accountable for the decisions of the Authority. The use of mayoral direction has reduced this confusion to an extent but a more obvious relationship between the Mayor and the fire service would make future accountability clearer.

Whilst the Assembly believes that the solution to LFEPA’s governance problems lie in primary legislation to create a Mayor’s Office for Policing and Crime style model, it understands the need for the proposed changes in the short term.

Yours sincerely”

5.5 The Chairman stated that the vote on the proposed motion in the name of James Cleverly AM would be taken first, followed by the vote on the proposed motion in the name of Fiona Twycross AM.

5.6 Before a vote on the motions was taken, in accordance with Standing Order 2.7(A), Richard Tracey AM requested that a named vote be taken.

5.7 Upon being put to the vote, the motion in the name of James Cleverly AM, namely:

**Greater London Authority
London Assembly (Plenary)
Wednesday 16 July 2014**

“Dear Secretary of State

This response is submitted on behalf of the London Assembly, to your recently issued consultation “Reform of fire service decision making in London”.

The London Assembly support the proposal that the Secretary of State makes an order under schedule 28 to the Greater London Authority Act 1999 to amend the composition of the membership of the LFEPA as follows:

- the number of Assembly Members be reduced from eight to six;
- the number of London Borough Councillors be reduced from seven to five;
- the number of Mayoral appointees be increased from two to six.

We believe that this will provide an interim solution to the current, and undesirable, confusion between executive and scrutiny role in relation to fire provision in London. This was identified by the recent Communities & Local Government Select Committee report.

We also feel that the current model makes political accountability difficult. Members of the LFEPA do not have a direct, or indeed indirect, mandate from the electorate yet the current structure implies that they do. This causes confusion among Londoners in general, and firefighters in particular, as to who can be held politically accountable for the decisions of the Authority. The use of mayoral direction has reduced this confusion to an extent but a more obvious relationship between the Mayor and the fire service would make future accountability clearer.

Whilst the Assembly believes that the solution to LFEPA’s governance problems lie in primary legislation to create a Mayor’s Office for Policing and Crime style model, it understands the need for the proposed changes in the short term.

Yours sincerely”

was declared lost (6 votes cast in favour and 15 votes against), with the votes being cast as follows:

For the motion: Tony Arbour AM, Victoria Borwick AM, James Cleverly AM, Kit Malthouse AM, Richard Tracey AM and Roger Evans AM (Chairman)

Against the motion: John Biggs AM, Tom Copley AM, Andrew Dismore AM, Len Duvall AM, Nicky Gavron AM, Darren Johnson AM, Jenny Jones AM, Stephen Knight AM, Joanne McCartney AM, Caroline Pidgeon MBE AM, Murad Qureshi AM, Dr Onkar Sahota AM, Navin Shah AM, Fiona Twycross AM and Jennette Arnold OBE AM (Deputy Chair).

5.8 Upon being put to the vote, the motion in the name of Fiona Twycross AM, namely:

“This Assembly notes the Mayor’s desire to change decision-making at the London Fire and Emergency Planning Authority (LFEPA) and, in doing so, to reduce the number of elected members LFEPA by four, replacing them with his appointees.

This Assembly believes the Mayor’s proposal jeopardises the democratic integrity of the LFEPA and that it should be elected members accountable to Londoners who make transparent decisions in the interest of everybody in the capital.

Given the threat that the Mayor’s proposals present to democratic decision-making at the LFEPA, and the potential impact this could have on the safety of Londoners, this Assembly calls on the Mayor to withdraw the plans with immediate effect and to work with the Assembly to develop proposals that would provide strong leadership, accountability and democratic representation to improve the provision of the fire and rescue service to Londoners.”

was agreed (15 votes cast in favour and 6 voted, against), with the voting as follows:

For the motion: John Biggs AM, Tom Copley AM, Andrew Dismore AM, Len Duvall AM, Nicky Gavron AM, Darren Johnson AM, Jenny Jones AM, Stephen Knight AM, Joanne McCartney AM, Caroline Pidgeon MBE AM, Murad Qureshi AM, Dr Onkar Sahota AM, Navin Shah AM, Fiona Twycross AM and Jennette Arnold OBE AM (Deputy Chair).

Against the motion: Tony Arbour AM, Victoria Borwick AM, James Cleverly AM, Kit Malthouse AM, Richard Tracey AM and Roger Evans AM (Chairman).

6 Future Plenary Meetings (Item 6)

6.1 Resolved:

- (a) That the Assembly, under section 61 of the Greater London Authority Act 1999, requires the attendance of the Mayor, Boris Johnson (in his capacity as Chairman of Transport for London) and Sir Peter Hendy CBE (Commissioner, Transport for London) at the 10 September 2014 London Assembly (Plenary) meeting, for which notice will be given in accordance with section 62 of the Greater London Authority Act 1999 in due course, to answer questions in relation to the policies and work of Transport for London.**
- (b) That the Assembly, under section 61 of the Greater London Authority Act 1999, requires the attendance of Ron Dobson CBE QFSM (Commissioner for Fire and Emergency Planning), and James Cleverly AM (Chairman, London Fire and Emergency Planning Authority) at the 5 November 2014 London Assembly (Plenary) meeting, for which notice will be given in accordance with**

section 62 of the Greater London Authority Act 1999 in due course, to answer questions in relation to the policies and work of the London Fire and Emergency Planning Authority.

7 Mayoral Commitments (Item 7)

7.1 The Assembly considered the report of the Executive Director of Secretariat.

7.2 Resolved:

That the commitments made by the Mayor, Boris Johnson, during London Assembly (Mayor's Question Time) meetings held between June 2013 and March 2014 be noted.

8 Changes to Membership of the Housing Committee (Item 8)

8.1 The Assembly considered the report of the Executive Director of Secretariat.

8.2 Resolved:

(a) That, further to nominations received from the London Assembly Labour Group, Murad Qureshi AM be appointed as a member of the Housing Committee, to replace Fiona Twycross AM; and

(b) That Fiona Twycross AM be appointed as a substitute member of the Housing Committee, to replace Murad Qureshi AM.

9 Petitions Update (Item 9)

9.1 The Assembly received the report of Executive Director of Secretariat.

9.2 Resolved:

That the responses received to petitions presented at recent Assembly (Plenary) meetings be noted.

10 Petitions (Item 10)

10.1 The Assembly received the report of the Executive Director of Secretariat.

10.2 Caroline Pidgeon MBE AM presented a petition with the following prayer:

“We, the undersigned, call on Transport for London and London Buses to replace the Highbury Grange Bus shelter without delay.”

10.3 **Resolved:**

That the petition be forwarded to the Mayor as Chairman of Transport for London, and the Commissioner for Transport for a response.

11 Motions (Item 11)

11.1 The Assembly received the report of the Executive Director of Secretariat.

11.2 Jenny Jones AM moved and Richard Tracey AM seconded the following motion:

“This Assembly notes that the Mayor of London’s proposal for an Ultra Low Emission Zone (ULEZ) is currently envisaged within the boundaries of the central London Congestion Charge Zone. This Assembly also understands that other boroughs may wish to join the proposed zone where they have particular air quality concerns. The Assembly therefore recommends that other boroughs be offered the opportunity to opt-in to a larger, contiguous zone as part of the Mayor’s consultation on the 2020 scheme, provided that the boroughs concerned meet a significant portion of the costs of extending the scheme and are able to demonstrate significant local support.”

11.3 Len Duvall AM moved, and Joanne McCartney AM seconded, the following amendment to the proposed motion:

“This Assembly notes that the Mayor of London’s proposal for an Ultra Low Emission Zone (ULEZ) is currently envisaged within the boundaries of the central London Congestion Charge Zone. This Assembly also understands that other boroughs may wish to join the proposed zone where they have particular air quality concerns. The Assembly therefore recommends that other boroughs be offered the opportunity to opt-in to a larger, contiguous zone as part of the Mayor’s consultation on the 2020 scheme ~~provided that the boroughs concerned meet a significant portion of the costs of extending the scheme and are able to demonstrate significant local support.~~”

11.4 Upon being put to the vote, the amendment in the name of Len Duvall AM was agreed with 13 votes cast in favour and 6 votes against. The debate therefore proceeded on the basis of the revised motion.

11.5 Upon being put to the vote, the revised motion in the name of Jenny Jones AM, namely:

“This Assembly notes that the Mayor of London’s proposal for an Ultra Low Emission Zone (ULEZ) is currently envisaged within the boundaries of the central London Congestion Charge Zone. This Assembly also understands that other boroughs may wish to join the proposed zone where they have particular air quality concerns. The Assembly therefore recommends that other boroughs be offered the opportunity to opt-in to a larger, contiguous zone as part of the Mayor’s consultation on the 2020 scheme.”

was agreed unanimously.

11.6 Darren Johnson AM moved and Nicky Gavron AM seconded the following motion:

“This Assembly notes that the London Plan parking standards have been successful in promoting sustainable development in London, and that Transport for London have worked effectively with the GLA’s Planning Decisions Unit to ensure that car parking provision fits with the Mayor’s strategic transport objectives. This Assembly disagrees with the Planning Minister that there is no place in the London Plan for car parking standards, resolves to write to the Minister to withdraw his expectation that the Mayor remove them, and calls on the Mayor to reject the Minister’s suggestion.”

11.7 Upon being put to the vote, the motion in the name of Darren Johnson AM, namely:

“This Assembly notes that the London Plan parking standards have been successful in promoting sustainable development in London, and that Transport for London have worked effectively with the GLA’s Planning Decisions Unit to ensure that car parking provision fits with the Mayor’s strategic transport objectives. This Assembly disagrees with the Planning Minister that there is no place in the London Plan for car parking standards, resolves to write to the Minister to withdraw his expectation that the Mayor remove them, and calls on the Mayor to reject the Minister’s suggestion.”

was agreed (with 15 votes cast in favour and 5 votes against).

**Greater London Authority
London Assembly (Plenary)
Wednesday 16 July 2014**

11.8 Fiona Twycross AM moved and Len Duvall AM seconded the following motion:

“The London Assembly recognises that the question of Scottish independence is a matter for the Scottish people. However, this Assembly would regret a vote by the Scottish people to leave the United Kingdom.

The London Assembly recognises the importance of our shared identities and values and, while celebrating and respecting the cultures of all nations and nationalities within the Union, we believe we are genuinely better together.

Thousands of Scottish people – and people with close family ties to Scotland, including a number of Assembly Members – live in London. The legacy of the Scottish people in London has, and continues to be, considerable; ranging from the discovery of penicillin by Alexander Fleming at St Mary's Hospital in Paddington to the recent, magnificent redesign of Kings Cross station by John McAslan.

This Assembly believes that maximising economic and social development requires a far greater devolution of powers from Westminster to local, regional, and national governments across the United Kingdom, allowing for decisions to be made at a level where local voices can be clearly heard and reflected. With this in mind, and further to the Mayor's strong statements on the issue of Scottish independence, we urge the Scottish people to remain part of the United Kingdom and join us in pursuing our common interest – a fairer constitutional settlement within the United Kingdom.”

11.9 Caroline Pidgeon MBE AM moved, and Stephen Knight AM seconded the following amendment to the proposed motion:

“The London Assembly recognises that the question of Scottish independence is a matter for the Scottish people. However, this Assembly would ~~regret~~ **be saddened in the event of** a vote by the Scottish people to leave the United Kingdom.

The London Assembly recognises the importance of our shared identities and values and, while celebrating and respecting the cultures of all nations and nationalities within the Union, we believe we are genuinely better together.

Thousands of Scottish people – and people with close family ties to Scotland, including a number of Assembly Members – live in London. The legacy of the Scottish people in London has, and continues to be, considerable; ranging from the discovery of penicillin by Alexander Fleming at St Mary's Hospital in Paddington to the recent, magnificent redesign of Kings Cross station by John McAslan.

This Assembly believes that maximising economic and social development requires a far greater devolution of powers from Westminster to local, regional, and national governments

across the United Kingdom, allowing for decisions to be made at a level where local voices can be clearly heard and reflected. With this in mind, and further to the Mayor's strong statements on the issue of Scottish independence, we ~~urge the Scottish people~~ **hope that people in Scotland will vote** to remain part of the United Kingdom and join us in pursuing our common interest – a fairer constitutional settlement within the United Kingdom.

11.10 In accordance with Standing Order 3.6A(2), and with the consent of her seconder and the meeting, Fiona Twycross AM stated that she would accept the amendment. The debate therefore proceeded on the basis of the revised motion.

11.11 Upon being put to the vote, the revised motion in the name of Fiona Twycross AM, namely:

“The London Assembly recognises that the question of Scottish independence is a matter for the Scottish people. However, this Assembly would be saddened in the event of a vote by the Scottish people to leave the United Kingdom.

The London Assembly recognises the importance of our shared identities and values and, while celebrating and respecting the cultures of all nations and nationalities within the Union, we believe we are genuinely better together.

Thousands of Scottish people – and people with close family ties to Scotland, including a number of Assembly Members – live in London. The legacy of the Scottish people in London has, and continues to be, considerable; ranging from the discovery of penicillin by Alexander Fleming at St Mary's Hospital in Paddington to the recent, magnificent redesign of Kings Cross station by John McAslan.

This Assembly believes that maximising economic and social development requires a far greater devolution of powers from Westminster to local, regional, and national governments across the United Kingdom, allowing for decisions to be made at a level where local voices can be clearly heard and reflected. With this in mind, and further to the Mayor's strong statements on the issue of Scottish independence, we hope that people in Scotland will vote to remain part of the United Kingdom and join us in pursuing our common interest – a fairer constitutional settlement within the United Kingdom.”

was agreed (with 17 votes cast in favour and 2 against).

11.12 Murad Qureshi AM moved and Tom Copley AM seconded the following motion:

“This Assembly notes with concern the expansion of the end-to-end postal services by TNT Post UK across London and the impact this expansion has on Royal Mail’s ability to sustain the universal service obligation.

Companies such as TNT are able to cherry-pick the most lucrative areas to deliver post because of a lack of regulation. Furthermore, TNT offers only insecure terms and conditions for staff, employing workers on zero-hours contracts at minimum wage and supporting the growth of a low-pay insecure job market¹.

This Assembly therefore calls on the Mayor of London to:

- Make representations to Ofcom to undertake an urgent review of end-to-end postal competition
- Determine what changes are needed to protect and ensure the future of the Universal Postal Service
- Make representations to TNT Post UK about becoming a living wage employer and offering staff secure, permanent contracts.”

11.13 Jenny Jones AM moved, and Darren Johnson AM seconded, the following amendment to the proposed motion.

“This Assembly notes with concern **the impact that the** expansion of the end-to-end postal services by TNT Post UK across London ~~and the impact this expansion~~ has on Royal Mail’s ability to sustain the universal service obligation.

Companies such as TNT are able to cherry-pick the most lucrative areas to deliver post ~~because of a lack of regulation~~. Furthermore, TNT offers only insecure terms and conditions for staff, employing workers on zero-hours contracts at minimum wage and supporting the growth of a low-pay insecure job market.

This Assembly therefore calls on the Mayor of London to:

- ~~Make representations to Ofcom to undertake an urgent review of end to end postal competition~~
- Determine what changes are needed to protect and ensure the future of the Universal Postal Service.
- Make representations to TNT Post UK about becoming a living wage employer and offering staff secure, permanent contracts.
- **Lobby the Government to bring the postal service back into public ownership.**

¹ Paul Mills, Postal privatisation and the zero-hour workers' nightmare, *The Guardian*, 07.07.14

11.14 In accordance with Standing Order 3.6A(2), and with the consent of her seconder and the meeting, Murad Qureshi AM stated that he would accept the amendment. The debate therefore proceeded on the basis of the revised motion.

11.15 Upon being put to the vote the revised motion in the name of Murad Qureshi AM, namely:

“This Assembly notes with concern the impact that the expansion of the end-to-end postal services by TNT Post UK across London has on Royal Mail’s ability to sustain the universal service obligation.

Companies such as TNT are able to cherry-pick the most lucrative areas to deliver post. Furthermore, TNT offers only insecure terms and conditions for staff, employing workers on zero-hours contracts at minimum wage and supporting the growth of a low-pay insecure job market[1].

This Assembly therefore calls on the Mayor of London to:

- **Determine what changes are needed to protect and ensure the future of the Universal Postal Service.**
- **Make representations to TNT Post UK about becoming a living wage employer and offering staff secure, permanent contracts.**
- **Lobby the Government to bring the postal service back into public ownership.**

was agreed (with 12 votes cast in favour and 5 votes against).

11.16 Tony Arbour AM moved, and Richard Tracey AM seconded, the following motion:

“In light of growing hospital admissions and ambulance call-outs related to alcohol in London, and the Mayors continued work to address the health implications of alcohol to Londoners, the Assembly would like the Mayor to look more closely at reducing the strain of alcohol to our emergency services. Recently the Soho Alcohol Recovery Centre (SARC) and the Booze Bus programme have significantly reduced their operation in London, with the SARC remaining closed since 2013. These services provided a simple and safe alternative to having intoxicated patients overwhelm London’s ambulances and A&E departments. Their benefits include savings in time, costs and distraction to our health workers. The Mayor is requested to work with the NHS to save and extend programmes to help keep emergency services focused on truly time-critical life-threatening emergencies and not the intoxicated.”

11.17 Dr Onkar Sahota AM moved, and Joanne McCartney AM seconded, the following amendment to the proposed motion:

“In light of growing hospital admissions and ambulance call-outs related to alcohol in London, and the Mayors continued work to address the health implications of alcohol to Londoners, the Assembly would like the Mayor to look more closely at reducing the strain of alcohol to our emergency services. Recently the Soho Alcohol Recovery Centre (SARC) and the Booze Bus programme have significantly reduced their operation in London, with the SARC remaining closed since 2013. These services provided a simple and safe alternative to having intoxicated patients overwhelm London’s ambulances and A&E departments. Their benefits include savings in time, costs and distraction to our health workers. The Mayor is requested to work with the NHS **and to lobby the Government** to save and extend **such** programmes to help keep emergency services focused on truly time-critical life-threatening emergencies ~~and not the intoxicated.~~”

11.18 Upon being put to the vote, the amendment in the name of Dr Onkar Sahota AM was agreed with 14 votes cast in favour and 5 votes against. The debate therefore proceeded on the basis of the revised motion.

11.19 Upon being put to the vote the revised motion in the name of Tony Arbour AM, namely:

“In light of growing hospital admissions and ambulance call-outs related to alcohol in London, and the Mayors continued work to address the health implications of alcohol to Londoners, the Assembly would like the Mayor to look more closely at reducing the strain of alcohol to our emergency services. Recently the Soho Alcohol Recovery Centre (SARC) and the Booze Bus programme have significantly reduced their operation in London, with the SARC remaining closed since 2013. These services provided a simple and safe alternative to having intoxicated patients overwhelm London’s ambulances and A&E departments. Their benefits include savings in time, costs and distraction to our health workers. The Mayor is requested to work with the NHS and the Government to save and extend such programmes to help keep emergency services focused on truly time-critical life-threatening emergencies.”

was agreed (with 14 votes cast in favour and none against).

11.20 Tony Arbour AM moved, and Richard Tracey AM, seconded the following motion:

“Over the past 8 years the Metropolitan Police have given out a third fewer Public Notices for Disorder (PNDs) related to drunken behaviour, while in the same period hospital admissions related to alcohol have nearly doubled. The Assembly requests that the Mayor encourages the Metropolitan Police to work more closely with London’s emergency services to help target abusers and troublesome users of our emergency services with fines, while avoiding fining those with more serious alcohol related conditions.”

- 11.21 Dr Onkar Sahota AM moved, and Len Duvall AM seconded, the following amendment to the proposed motion:

~~“Over the past 8 years the Metropolitan Police have given out a third fewer Public Notices for Disorder (PNDs) related to drunken behaviour, while in the same period hospital admissions related to alcohol have nearly doubled. The Assembly requests that the Mayor encourages the Metropolitan Police to work more closely with London’s emergency services to help target abusers and troublesome users of our emergency services with fines, while avoiding fining those with more serious alcohol related conditions.”~~

- 11.22 Upon being put to the vote, the amendment in the name of Dr Onkar Sahota AM was agreed with 14 votes cast in favour and 5 votes against. The debate therefore proceeded on the basis of the revised motion.

- 11.23 Upon being put to the vote the motion in the name of Tony Arbour AM, namely:

“Over the past 8 years the Metropolitan Police have given out a third fewer Public Notices for Disorder (PNDs) related to drunken behaviour, while in the same period hospital admissions related to alcohol have nearly doubled. The Assembly requests that the Mayor encourages the Metropolitan Police to work more closely with London’s emergency services to help target abusers and troublesome users of our emergency services.”

was agreed (with 14 votes cast in favour and 5 against).

- 11.24 Caroline Pidgeon MBE AM moved, and Jennette Arnold OBE AM seconded, the following motion:

“This Assembly notes the significant size of the Latin American community in London and especially some inner London Boroughs and believes that certain issues relating to their lack of official public recognition should be addressed.

This Assembly therefore supports the long standing request that the Greater London Authority and organisations within the Greater London Authority family follow the example of Southwark Council and allows ‘Latin American’ to be included in the ethnicity section of the authorities’ monitoring forms.

This Assembly notes that a further request of the Latin American community is for Portuguese to be included on London Underground ticket machines and urges the Mayor as chair of transport for London to ensure that this reasonable request is fully considered as part of the £20 million investment taking place in the upgrading of ticket machines.”

11.25 Upon being put to the vote the motion in the name of Caroline Pidgeon MBE AM, namely:

“This Assembly notes the significant size of the Latin American community in London and especially some inner London Boroughs and believes that certain issues relating to their lack of official public recognition should be addressed.

This Assembly therefore supports the long standing request that the Greater London Authority and organisations within the Greater London Authority family follow the example of Southwark Council and allows ‘Latin American’ to be included in the ethnicity section of the authorities’ monitoring forms.

This Assembly notes that a further request of the Latin American community is for Portuguese to be included on London Underground ticket machines and urges the Mayor as chair of transport for London to ensure that this reasonable request is fully considered as part of the £20 million investment taking place in the upgrading of ticket machines.”

was agreed unanimously.

12 Date of Next Meeting (Item 12)

12.1 The next scheduled meeting of the London Assembly was the Mayor’s Question Time meeting which will take place at 10.00am on Wednesday 23 July 2014 in the Chamber, City Hall.

13 Any Other Business the Chair Considers Urgent (Item 13)

13.1 There were no urgent items of business.

14 Close of Meeting

14.1 The meeting ended at 1.25pm.

Chairman

Date

Contact Officers: Joanna Brown/ Teresa Young
Senior Committee Officers
GLA Secretariat, City Hall

**Greater London Authority
London Assembly (Plenary)
Wednesday 16 July 2014**

The Queen's Walk, London SE1 2AA

Telephone:

020 7983 6559

Email:

Joanna.brown@london.gov.uk/ teresa.young@london.gov.uk

MINUTES

**Meeting: London Assembly
(Mayor's Question Time)**
Date: Wednesday 23 July 2014
Time: 10.00 am
**Place: Chamber, City Hall, The Queen's
Walk, London, SE1 2AA**

Copies of the minutes may be found at: <http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor-assembly/london-assembly/whole-assembly>

Present:

Roger Evans AM (Chairman)	Jenny Jones AM
Jennette Arnold OBE AM (Deputy Chair)	Stephen Knight AM
Tony Arbour AM	Kit Malthouse AM
John Biggs AM	Joanne McCartney AM
Andrew Boff AM	Steve O'Connell AM
Victoria Borwick AM	Caroline Pidgeon MBE AM
Tom Copley AM	Murad Qureshi AM
Andrew Dismore AM	Dr Onkar Sahota AM
Len Duvall AM	Richard Tracey AM
Nicky Gavron AM	Fiona Twycross AM
Darren Johnson AM	

1 Apologies for Absence and Chair's Announcements (Item 1)

- 1.1 Apologies for absence were received on behalf of Gareth Bacon AM, James Cleverly AM, Navin Shah AM and Valerie Shawcross CBE AM
- 1.2 During the course of the meeting, the Chairman welcomed to the public gallery summer placement students from Transport for London, students from the University of the Arts, Elephant and Castle and the 2014 CIMA Toronto Mayor's Cricket Team.

2 Declarations of Interests (Item 2)

2.1 Resolved:

That the list of offices held by Assembly Members, as set out in the table at Agenda Item 2, be noted as disclosable pecuniary interests.

3 Minutes (Item 3)

3.1 Resolved:

That the minutes from the London Assembly (Mayor's Question Time) meeting held on 2 July 2014 be signed by the Chairman as a correct record.

4 Mayor's Report (Item 4)

- 4.1 The Assembly noted the Mayor's Report covering the period from 19 June to 9 July 2014.
- 4.2 In accordance with Standing Order 5.4A, the Mayor gave an oral update on matters occurring since the publication of his report. The record of the oral update is attached at **Appendix 1**.

5 Questions to the Mayor (Item 5)

- 5.1 The record of the discussion with the Mayor, including oral answers given by the Mayor to Members' questions, is attached as **Appendix 2**.
- 5.2 The written answers to those questions not asked or unanswered during the meeting is attached as **Appendix 3**.
- 5.3 During the course of the question and answer session, at 12.30pm, the Chairman proposed, and it was agreed, that Standing Order 2.9B be suspended to extend the meeting in order to allow the remaining questions on the priority order paper to be put to the Mayor and for the

**Greater London Authority
London Assembly (Mayor's Question Time)
Wednesday 23 July 2014**

remaining items of business on the agenda to be considered.

- 5.4 At the conclusion of the question and answer session, the Chairman formally moved the motion set out on the agenda, namely:

“That the Assembly notes the answers to the questions asked.”

- 5.5 Fiona Twycross AM moved and Andrew Dismore AM seconded the following amendment to the motion:

After, “That the Assembly notes the answers to the questions asked.” add:

“This Assembly notes Mayoral Direction 1385 – *Direction to LFEPA on partial performance* – compelling the Commissioner of the London Fire and Emergency Planning Authority (LFEPA) to prepare a ‘report to the 2 October LFEPA meeting, investigating...withholding payment from fire fighters for part or the whole period of duty on which they are on strike’¹.

“This Assembly is concerned that, despite several debates at LFEPA on the issue of ‘locking fire fighters out’ (culminating in the conclusion that this would be a self-defeating and provocative approach to industrial relations), the Mayor is insisting LFEPA considers taking this incendiary course of action.

“This Assembly regards the Mayor’s Direction as an unnecessary and deliberately antagonistic approach to industrial relations; that it will hinder rather than help the resolution of the current dispute; and that the safety of Londoners will be jeopardised should a decision be made to ‘lock out’ fire fighters.

“Given these grave concerns about Mayoral Direction 1385, this Assembly calls on the Mayor to withdraw it with immediate effect and pursue a route that puts the welfare of Londoners before his politically-motivated desire to attack fire fighters in the capital.”

- 5.6 Following debate and upon being put to the vote, the amendment was agreed, with 12 votes cast in favour and 6 votes cast against.
- 5.7 Upon being put to the vote, the substantive motion, namely:

“That the Assembly notes the answers to the questions asked.

“This Assembly notes Mayoral Direction 1385 – *Direction to LFEPA on partial performance* – compelling the Commissioner of the London Fire and Emergency Planning Authority (LFEPA) to prepare a ‘report to the 2 October LFEPA meeting,

¹ Johnson. B, Mayoral Decision 1385, *Direction to LFEPA on partial performance*, Issued: 23.07.14

investigating...withholding payment from fire fighters for part or the whole period of duty on which they are on strike².

“This Assembly is concerned that, despite several debates at LFEPA on the issue of ‘locking fire fighters out’ (culminating in the conclusion that this would be a self-defeating and provocative approach to industrial relations), the Mayor is insisting LFEPA considers taking this incendiary course of action.

“This Assembly regards the Mayor’s Direction as an unnecessary and deliberately antagonistic approach to industrial relations; that it will hinder rather than help the resolution of the current dispute; and that the safety of Londoners will be jeopardised should a decision be made to ‘lock out’ fire fighters.

“Given these grave concerns about Mayoral Direction 1385, this Assembly calls on the Mayor to withdraw it with immediate effect and pursue a route that puts the welfare of Londoners before his politically-motivated desire to attack fire fighters in the capital.”

was agreed, with 12 votes cast in favour and 6 votes cast against.

6 Proposed Delegation of Authority to the Chairman of the Assembly (Item 6)

6.1 The Assembly received the report of the Executive Director of Secretariat.

6.2 **Resolved:**

That the Assembly in relation to urgent matters only, agree a general delegation of authority in respect of the Assembly’s powers and functions (apart from those that cannot under the Greater London Authority Act 1999 be delegated) be given to the Chairman of the Assembly, in consultation with the Deputy Chair, party Group Leaders and relevant Committee Chair(s), from the close of this meeting until the next meeting of the Assembly, which will be the Plenary meeting on 10 September 2014.

7 Date of Next Meeting (Item 7)

7.1 It was noted that the next meeting of the London Assembly would be the Plenary meeting which was scheduled to take place at 10.00am on Wednesday 10 September 2014 in the Chamber, City Hall.

² Johnson. B, Mayoral Decision 1385, *Direction to LFEPA on partial performance*, Issued: 23.07.14

8 Any Other Business the Chairman Considers Urgent (Item 8)

8.1 There were no items of urgent business.

9 Close of Meeting

9.1 The meeting ended at 12.57pm.

Chair

Date

Contact Officer: Rebecca Arnold
Committee Services Manager
GLA Secretariat, City Hall
The Queen's Walk, London SE1 2AA

Telephone: 020 7983 4421
Email: rebecca.arnold@london.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

**Questions to Boris Johnson, Chairman, Transport for London (TfL) and
Sir Peter Hendy CBE, Commissioner, TfL
London Assembly (Plenary), 10 September 2014**

PRIORITY ORDER PAPER

Report No: 4

**Subject: Question and Answer Session on the Policies and Work of
TfL**

Report of: Executive Director of Secretariat

Bearing Down on Fares

Question No: 2014/2976

[Richard Tracey](#)

How will TfL bear down on fares and introduce more flexible ticketing from January 2015?

Decreasing Journey Time Reliability

Question No: 2014/2977

[Darren Johnson](#)

Journey time reliability on London's strategic road network recently fell to its lowest level since October 2010. Can you improve it without reducing the overall volume of traffic?

Part Time travelcard

Question No: 2014/2978

[Caroline Pidgeon](#)

What progress has been made in meeting your pledge to the London Assembly, that was made in January 2014, to introduce ticketing which specifically addresses the needs of part-time workers from January 2015?

2016 Achievements

Question No: 2014/2979

[Valerie Shawcross](#)

Londoners are finding their fares much higher, their buses and trains more crowded and their air still dangerously polluted after 6 years of your administration. Will you solve any of these problems by 2016?

Questions for Written Answer

Increasing road capacity

Question No: 2014/2980

[Caroline Pidgeon](#)

Given that car ownership in London continues to fall, why does your Infrastructure Plan place so much emphasis on increasing road capacity?

Feasibility studies for inner orbital tunnel

Question No: 2014/2981

[Caroline Pidgeon](#)

What is the total expenditure on feasibility studies for the proposed inner orbital road tunnel?

Feasibility studies for estuary airport

Question No: 2014/2982

[Caroline Pidgeon](#)

What is the total expenditure on feasibility studies for the proposed estuary airport?

Rezoning Bermondsey and Kennington stations

Question No: 2014/2983

[Caroline Pidgeon](#)

Given you have authorised the rezoning of Stratford station to Zone 2/3, will you consider rezoning Bermondsey and Kennington to become Zone 1/2 stations?

Cyclists turning left on red

Question No: 2014/2984

[Caroline Pidgeon](#)

On page 26 of your 2008 vision for transport, *Way to Go*, you stated that you would consider allowing cyclists to turn left at red lights, as is allowed in many other European cities. What consideration has been given to this proposal, and why have you not implemented it?

Cycle Hire Battersea expansion

Question No: 2014/2985

[Caroline Pidgeon](#)

I welcome the expansion of the Cycle Hire scheme to Battersea. Please provide details of the costs of this expansion, and how it has been funded, with a breakdown of each organisation's contribution.

Elephant and Castle roundabout proposals

Question No: 2014/2986

[Caroline Pidgeon](#)

The recently published proposals for the northern roundabout at Elephant and Castle provide piecemeal segregated cycling space, create several new potential collision points, and have been met with a very mixed response from cycling campaigners. Will you revisit the proposals to address their concerns?

TfL telecommunications spending

Question No: 2014/2987

[Caroline Pidgeon](#)

What measures are TfL taking to follow the advice of IIPAG, as set out in its 2013/14 annual report, to monitor and reduce expenditure on telecommunications?

Part-time travelcard

Question No: 2014/2988

[Caroline Pidgeon](#)

Will you provide an assurance that a part-time travelcard will operate through Oyster?

TfL Safety Assurance Programme

Question No: 2014/2989

[Darren Johnson](#)

Please provide the following details about Transport for London's Safety Assurance Programme:

- Which member of the Transport for London board has overall responsibility for the programme?
- What are the staffing arrangements?
- What is the annual budget?
- How does the programme report on its work?

TfL Audits of Bus Company Collision Investigations (1)

Question No: 2014/2990

[Darren Johnson](#)

Please provide the following details about Transport for London's audits of Bus Company KSI Collision Investigations:

- Which member of the Transport for London board has overall responsibility these audits?
- What are the staffing arrangements?
- What is the annual budget?

TfL Audits of Bus Company Collision Investigations (2)

Question No: 2014/2991

[Darren Johnson](#)

Please provide copies of any Audit Reports about Bus Collisions that resulted in a KSI from 1st April 2008 onwards.

Rise in KSIs for taxis and cyclists

Question No: 2014/2992

[Darren Johnson](#)

Thank you for your response to question 2014/2349. Are you concerned about the rise in the number of KSI's involving cyclists being in collision with either taxi or private hire vehicles?

Rise in casualties for taxis and private hire vehicles

Question No: 2014/2993

[Darren Johnson](#)

Thank you for your response to question 2014/2349. Are you concerned about the rise in total casualties amongst either the drivers of taxi and private hire vehicles, or their passengers? Given the rapid fall in the number of car drivers and passengers who are being injured in traffic collisions over this period, why is this going the other way?

Reducing KSIs for taxis and private hire vehicles

Question No: 2014/2994

[Darren Johnson](#)

As you regulate the private hire fleet, can you explain what additional actions you have taken since 2009 to reduce conflicts and injuries?

Recording KSIs for taxis and private hire vehicles

Question No: 2014/2995

[Darren Johnson](#)

Will you require taxi and private hire companies to record and report all collisions to Transport for London so that the data can be analysed and any problem areas identified?

Withdrawing private hire licenses

Question No: 2014/2996

[Darren Johnson](#)

Will you withdraw the licence of any private hire vehicle company whose drivers are involved in repeated incidents?

Increasing capacity on 108 bus route

Question No: 2014/2997

[Darren Johnson](#)

TfL recently confirmed that capacity will be boosted on this severely overcrowded route, beginning in the autumn. Please provide the date from which additional buses will be brought into service on this route. Please also provide an update on the longer-term solution of running a new Kidbrooke Village to North Greenwich route.

Temperature target on buses

Question No: 2014/2998

[Darren Johnson](#)

Your response to MQ number 2014/2689 did not disclose a health and safety target temperature for London buses. Please confirm whether or not TfL has such a target and, if it does, please let me know what it is.

New bus - emissions

Question No: 2014/2999

[Darren Johnson](#)

In your answer to my question 1381/2013 in May last year, you stated that you would be testing emissions of the New Bus for London on route 24. Now you have had a year to compare results, will you please publish them in full, including the average mpg for the bus, broken down by month?

Crossrail – further extensions

Question No: 2014/3000

[Darren Johnson](#)

The Transport Secretary recently announced that Crossrail could be extended to Hertfordshire. Please list any representations you have made to the Government on this or other extensions to Crossrail.

Crossrail – link to Thamesmead

Question No: 2014/3001

[Darren Johnson](#)

Thamesmead is one of the largest areas of London to have no railway station of its own. Have you studied the potential for a future extension of Crossrail to the area?

Vauxhall Bus Station Redevelopment

Question No: 2014/3002

[Darren Johnson](#)

Some residents groups around Vauxhall want TfL to remove the gyratory at Vauxhall Cross but retain the bus station. Will TfL model the impact of this option on traffic levels, journey times, air and noise pollution levels, and the bus network, and release the results to the public?

Kings Cross Gyratory (1)

Question No: 2014/3003

[Darren Johnson](#)

Of the 433 respondents to TfL's consultation on changes to be made at this gyratory, 220 supported the introduction of segregated cycle lanes and/or advance stop lines at the traffic lights for cyclists. Please give the reasons why the decision has been taken by TfL not to implement to the wishes of 52% of respondents.

Kings Cross Gyratory (2)

Question No: 2014/3004

[Darren Johnson](#)

How much did this public consultation cost?

Kings Cross Gyratory (3)

Question No: 2014/3005

[Darren Johnson](#)

Eight key stakeholders - LB Camden, LB Islington, Camden Cycling Campaign, Sustrans, UCL, Southwark Living Streets, University of the Arts and Cycling Embassy of Great Britain - expressed their concern about the lack of segregated, mandatory cycle lanes in TfL's plans for Kings Cross gyratory, yet TfL plan to go ahead without introducing them. Will TfL continue to ignore comments from key stakeholder groups in all of the impending Better Junctions reviews?

Old Street roundabout – rejection of crossroads option

Question No: 2014/3006

[Darren Johnson](#)

Given that the Mayor and TfL are not minded to pursue the replacement of the Old Street Roundabout with a crossroad design, to what extent is TfL's position based on its wish to benefit financially from the construction of a new tower block on that site?

Better Junctions priority locations

Question No: 2014/3007

[Darren Johnson](#)

Please list the budget which has been allocated to each of the 10 junctions that have been prioritised for delivery before May 2016, with a breakdown of the funding sources for each.

Better Junctions – junction reviews (1)

Question No: 2014/3008

[Darren Johnson](#)

How many of the 100 locations have reviews now been completed?

Better Junctions – junction reviews (2)

Question No: 2014/3009

[Darren Johnson](#)

Are all the completed reviews made publically available?

Cycling access to the Olympic Park

Question No: 2014/3010

[Darren Johnson](#)

Do you regard the new bridge (opened this August) from Fish Island to the Olympic Park as contributing to your declared aim of creating a 'paradise for cycling'? Cyclists must dismount and climb steps without a side trough to push their bike up. There is a path to the side with multiple turns that is hard for bikes with large wheels to use, and has to be shared with people using wheelchairs and prams.

Gallions Reach bridge

Question No: 2014/3011

[Darren Johnson](#)

Your proposal for a bridge at Gallions Reach, which is being consulted upon at the moment, is for a four-lane bridge with the 'second lane in each direction potentially being reserved for buses and goods vehicles only'. You suggest 'user charging to manage traffic use' but note that this 'would be subject of a separate later consultation if this was chosen as the preferred option.' Have you produced traffic modelling to support the option of Gallions Reach crossing being built with all four lanes open to car traffic and no charging? Will you publish this material and its impact on the surrounding road network?

Silvertown and Blackwall Tunnel tolls (1)

Question No: 2014/3012

[Darren Johnson](#)

Will you push ahead with the building of Silvertown Tunnel even if there is overwhelming opposition to having tolls on the Blackwall Tunnel when you hold the public consultation on that?

Silvertown and Blackwall Tunnel tolls (2)

Question No: 2014/3013

[Darren Johnson](#)

Can you confirm that the formal public consultation on whether the Silvertown Tunnel should be built will be held separately to the formal consultation on whether the Blackwall Tunnel should be tolled?

Robotic freight (1)

Question No: 2014/3014

[Darren Johnson](#)

What consideration did you give to the potential role of robotic freight in reducing congestion in London when producing your Long Term Infrastructure Investment Plan?

Robotic freight (2)

Question No: 2014/3015

[Darren Johnson](#)

You have ordered TfL to carry out a feasibility study into an underground ring road. Mole Solutions Ltd has carried out a number of DEFRA/DFT and TSB sponsored studies to explore the feasibility of introducing freight pipelines beneath our cities. Will you ensure that relevant TfL officers meet with representatives of this company to learn more about the role which robotic freight could play in reducing freight traffic on London's streets?

Underground ring road feasibility study

Question No: 2014/3016

[Darren Johnson](#)

In May you stated that TfL were conducting a feasibility study into an underground ring road. When and how will the results of the study be made available?

East London air pollution monitoring (1)

Question No: 2014/3017

[Darren Johnson](#)

What are the 75 locations where TfL has installed nitrogen dioxide diffusion tubes across east London?

East London air pollution monitoring (2)

Question No: 2014/3018

[Darren Johnson](#)

Will you commit to publishing the results of the nitrogen dioxide diffusion tubes monitoring on the London Datastore when available?

East London air pollution monitoring (3)

Question No: 2014/3019

[Darren Johnson](#)

How do you plan to use the results of the nitrogen dioxide diffusion tubes monitoring in east London?

Diesel Trains and the Ultra-Low Emission Zone

Question No: 2014/3020

[Jenny Jones](#)

Will those train companies that use diesel engines be fined for entering the Ultra-Low Emission Zone?

Tube dust (1)

Question No: 2014/3021

[Jenny Jones](#)

It is over ten years since the Institute of Occupational Medicine did their study on the health impacts of tube dust, which concluded that dust levels were 'highly unlikely' to cause serious damage to staff and public. Since then, there have been considerable advances in our understanding of the health impacts of particulate matter. For example, we now know that brake and tyre wear plays a major part in urban road pollution, which may be comparable to tube dust, and the WHO now states that "there is no evidence of a safe level of exposure or a threshold below which no adverse health effects occur." Given the significant progress in our understanding of the health impacts of air pollution over that time, will you commission some outside experts to measure air pollution in the London Underground and update your research?

Tube dust (2)

Question No: 2014/3022

[Jenny Jones](#)

The Tube's Tunnel Cleaning Train, originally planned to begin operation in 2012, has been delayed again by the need to remove asbestos in the tube system. This asbestos removal work should only take up to 18 months, but TfL now don't expect to start cleaning tunnels until at least 2017. There is no urgency about this work to clean dust from the tubes that is harmful to human health. Will you push TfL to start the cleaning work within 18 months with no further delays?

TfL policy of maximising revenue from real estate holdings (1)

Question No: 2014/3023

[Jenny Jones](#)

Are you concerned that TfL's current push to maximise revenue from its commercial assets could see hundreds of small, family-run businesses driven out of tube station kiosks and railway arches as big-name retailers are moved in when leases expire and platform plots are put up for sale at high prices?

TfL policy of maximising revenue from real estate holdings (2)

Question No: 2014/3024

[Jenny Jones](#)

In formulating this policy, has TfL set either a network-wide target or local targets for the proportion of businesses occupying its commercial spaces which will remain independent, family-run outfits as opposed to big name retailers?

TfL policy of maximising revenue from real estate holdings (3)

Question No: 2014/3025

[Jenny Jones](#)

Promoting a range of different types of businesses across TfL's commercial spaces will improve the resilience of its own business model. Please give further details on the mix of retailers which TfL hopes to see occupy its commercial spaces.

TfL policy of maximising revenue from real estate holdings (4)

Question No: 2014/3026

[Jenny Jones](#)

Will TfL commit to providing opportunities for relocation where businesses occupying its commercial spaces find themselves priced out by rent increases or find themselves unable to purchase their plot if it is put up for sale by TfL?

TfL policy of maximising revenue from real estate holdings (5)

Question No: 2014/3027

[Jenny Jones](#)

The move towards turnover rents is a welcome example of innovation in TfL's business model. However, has TfL analysed how this rent model could benefit some types of business – sole traders, for example – whilst proving ruinous to others, such as those with high turnovers but small profit margins?

TfL policy of maximising revenue from real estate holdings (6)

Question No: 2014/3028

[Jenny Jones](#)

In the Alperton Masterplan supplementary planning document, Brent council has committed to working with local businesses affected by developments in this growth area to explore relocation opportunities. In the same vein, what assistance is TfL offering to the news vendor at Alperton tube station whose kiosk will not feature in the redeveloped station?

TfL policy of maximising revenue from real estate holdings (7)

Question No: 2014/3029

[Jenny Jones](#)

Has TfL explored relocation opportunities with small business owners at Hampstead Heath station whose platform plots have recently been put up for sale, forcing them out of the station?

Replacement bus services

Question No: 2014/3030

[Jennette Arnold](#)

How is information communicated to customers and, importantly, other Train Operating Companies (TOCs), when the pick-up and drop-off stops for TfL replacement bus services change at the last minute compared with information advertised on posters?

Crossrail 2

Question No: 2014/3031

[Jennette Arnold](#)

What work will be done to ensure that stations along the proposed Crossrail 2 line will be 100% accessible and step-free?

Electrification of Barking-Gospel Oak Consultation

Question No: 2014/3032

[Jennette Arnold](#)

What is the timeline for the stakeholder consultation on the electrification of the Barking-Gospel Oak line? And how will Londoners who use the line be involved in this process, given the huge disruption it will bring to travelling in the area?

Blackhorse Road Help Points

Question No: 2014/3033

[Jennette Arnold](#)

Why are there no Help Points at Blackhorse Road? And when can I expect some to be installed please?

Emirates Airline (1)

Question No: 2014/3034

[Tom Copley](#)

Why has customer satisfaction on the Emirates Airline dropped to the lowest level ever and what is being done to improve satisfaction?

Emirates Airline (2)

Question No: 2014/3035

[Tom Copley](#)

What projections have TfL made of the impact of moving the Emirates Airline into the Oyster Travelcard zone on a. ridership b. revenues? Please provide a breakdown of these projections by year going forward.

Improvements to Cycling in London following Tour de France

Question No: 2014/3036

[Tom Copley](#)

Peter Hendy has stated that £6 million was spent on the Tour de France coming to London from TfL's cycling budget. What practical improvements can cyclists in London expect to see as a result of this spending?

Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital (RNOH) Stanmore

Question No: 2014/3037

[Andrew Dismore](#)

With reference to my Question No: 2014/1996 "I am sure you are aware of the plans for major changes at the RNOH Stanmore, which include a substantial housing development on the site. What assessment have you made of the impact of the plans on the strategic roads, in particular Canon's Corner, also bearing in mind the London Academy expansion plan?"

your response being

"I considered the application at Stage II on 10 April 2013. In that report TfL notes that in relation to highway capacity, that following Stage one and at TfL's request, further assessment has been undertaken regarding possible improvements to the Brockley Hill/Wood Lane junction in order to mitigate traffic arising from the development. Harrow Council has accepted the provision of a signalised junction as effective mitigation and the section 106 agreement will secure this through a section 278 agreement (Highways Act). As these works will also encompass new/improved crossings between the site and the bus stops on Brockley Hill, TfL welcomed the separate condition added which secures the delivery of such facilities prior to the commencement of the Central Development Zone (CDZ). In addition to this, a contribution of £20,000 has been secured towards upgrading the two bus stops on Brockley Hill, which TfL welcomes. As agreed with Harrow, TfL expects to be involved in all of the above section 278 discussions, given its responsibility for maintaining traffic signals and a reliable bus network"

will you now answer the question I asked, concerning Canon's Corner and the London Academy expansion plan?

A41 pedestrian crossing

Question No: 2014/3038

[Andrew Dismore](#)

In view of the London Academy expansion plan, will you consider a controlled crossing near Amias Drive to opposite side of Spur Road on the A41 for the benefit of school children and other pedestrians because children may walk from 107 Bus Stop and down Brockley Hill to walk to school? Traffic speeds up from Canons Corner near Brockley Hill and Spur Road and is dangerous for young children and their parents.

Junction of A41 and Green Lane, Edgware

Question No: 2014/3039

[Andrew Dismore](#)

As a consequence of the growing population and consequent increase in traffic in the area, it is becoming very difficult for traffic to join the A41 from Green Lane at the Spur rd roundabout. will you investigate what can be done to ease the situation?

Bus stops, Aerodrome Road

Question No: 2014/3040

[Andrew Dismore](#)

What progress has been made concerning the siting of new bus stops on the westbound side of Aerodrome Road, Colindale, bearing in mind that much of the Peel Centre frontage is no longer occupied by the police?

Pelican crossing, Colindeep Lane

Question No: 2014/3041

[Andrew Dismore](#)

Despite repeated assurances, this crossing has still not been installed. What is the hold up now, and when will it be built?

Mill Hill Circus

Question No: 2014/3042

[Andrew Dismore](#)

Further to question No: 2014/2000

“What progress is being made in your plans for this junction; what discussions have you or your officers had with Barnet Council; and when will a public consultation be held?”

your response being

“TfL has instigated a design to improve capacity and resilience at Mill Hill Circus. A design that increases the internal capacity by a combination of reducing the size of the central island and increasing the space available for traffic on the north eastern quadrant of the roundabout has been developed. Initial contact has been made with LB Barnet and TfL are awaiting a response in order to ascertain the level of support for the Borough to make improvements at this location. If a viable proposal for a scheme is identified, public consultation will be progressed.”

Have LB Barnet now responded, if so what was that response, and what progress is being made with this plan?

Pedestrian Safety Plan

Question No: 2014/3043

[Joanne McCartney](#)

Can you outline as part of the Pedestrian Safety Plan what action you plan to take and the timeline for this, in both Enfield and Haringey?

ULEZ U-Turn

Question No: 2014/3044

[Murad Qureshi](#)

Why has the bold ambitions of the ULEZ been watered down from only permitting “zero or low emission” vehicles to enter to allowing polluting diesel vehicles, and petrol cars registered before 2006 into central London for a £10 fee?

ULEZ zoning

Question No: 2014/3045

[Murad Qureshi](#)

Will TfL investigate allowing other boroughs to opt in to a larger contiguous ULEZ zone and could TfL help facilitate this financially?

ULEZ – barriers to implementation

Question No: 2014/3046

[Murad Qureshi](#)

What practical barriers are there to having a larger ULEZ and how could they be overcome?

Chamberlayne Road

Question No: 2014/3047

[Navin Shah](#)

Further to my question 2014/2845, will you now facilitate a meeting between TfL, residents and Brent Council to discuss residents’ concerns about Chamberlayne Road (Kensal Rise) which is causing congestion, noise-pollution, safety issues and is generally detrimental impact on local residents from extraordinary volume of buses?

Apprenticeships in TfL

Question No: 2014/3048

[Fiona Twycross](#)

TfL offer free travel on tubes and buses for their apprentices which would considerably help young Londoners with their living costs. What were the reasons behind providing this benefit and can more be done to help apprentices in London with their travel costs, particularly for those employers who do not pay the London Living Wage?

Traineeships at TfL

Question No: 2014/3049

[Fiona Twycross](#)

I understand that TfL are carrying out a feasibility study and pilot into traineeships by March 2015. How will TfL ensure that traineeship applications from under-represented groups are monitored and recruited, and what actions will be taken to ensure they can afford to take part in any scheme you put in place?

Apprenticeship levels at TfL

Question No: 2014/3050

[Fiona Twycross](#)

How many apprentices have completed an apprenticeship at TfL, and please differentiate between Level 2, Level 3 and Level 4? If this data is not collected, how is the scheme evaluated, including assessing the potential difficulties in completing the scheme, and would consideration be taken into collecting this information in the future?

Gender breakdown for apprenticeships

Question No: 2014/3051

[Fiona Twycross](#)

I note that 31 per cent of TfL apprentices are female. What action is TfL taking to increase the number of females taking up TfL apprenticeships?

Age breakdown for apprenticeships

Question No: 2014/3052

[Fiona Twycross](#)

Can TfL provide me with a breakdown of the number of apprentices under 19s, 19-24 and over 25s, per year since the apprenticeship scheme started?

Competition for apprenticeships

Question No: 2014/3053

[Fiona Twycross](#)

How many people apply for how many apprenticeships places each year in TfL? Has there been an increase in competition for TfL apprenticeships since the scheme began?

Sexual Offences on TfL services (1)

Question No: 2014/3054

[Fiona Twycross](#)

What are the most up to date figures on sexual offences that have taken place on the TfL network, and what trend do these show?

Sexual Offences on TfL services (2)

Question No: 2014/3055

[Fiona Twycross](#)

How many successful prosecutions have there been over the past six years for sexual offences on the TfL network?

Sexual Offences on TfL services (3)

Question No: 2014/3056

[Fiona Twycross](#)

What have been the outcomes of Project Guardian, and what targets have been set for this project?

Earls Court – best value consultation 1

Question No: 2014/3057

[Nicky Gavron](#)

Is TfL a best value authority for the purposes of the Local Government Act 1999?

Earls Court – best value consultation 2

Question No: 2014/3058

[Nicky Gavron](#)

If TfL is a best value authority for the purposes of the Local Government Act 1999, it must follow the Best Value Statutory Guidance. This guidance states: “before deciding how to fulfil their Best Value Duty – authorities are under a Duty to Consult representatives of a wide range of local persons.” Has TfL complied with this Duty to Consult in regards to the Earls Court redevelopment? What actions has it taken to comply?

Earls Court redevelopment

Question No: 2014/3059

[Nicky Gavron](#)

Who instigated the collaboration with Capco to redevelop Earls Court? Was it TfL or Capco? How and when did any mayoral influence come into it?

Lillie Bridge Depot

Question No: 2014/3060

[Nicky Gavron](#)

What are TfL’s plans for Lillie Bridge Depot in regards to the Earls Court development? When will a decision on the Depot’s future be made?

TfL Bill

Question No: 2014/3061

[Nicky Gavron](#)

Clause 5 of the Transport for London Bill would codify TfL’s ability to form and invest in limited partnerships. What powers does Clause 5 provide that are not already available to TfL?

Subject: Action Taken by the Chairman under Delegated Authority

Report to: London Assembly (Plenary)

Report of: Executive Director of Secretariat

Date: 10 September 2014

This report will be considered in public

1. Summary

- 1.1 This report outlines recent action taken by the Chairman in accordance with the general delegations granted to him under the Authority's Standing Orders, namely to invite the Mayor and the Deputy Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis to the Assembly (Plenary) meeting on 9 December 2014.

2. Recommendation

- 2.1 **That the Assembly notes the recent action taken by the Chairman of the Assembly, Roger Evans AM, under the GLA's Standing Order 10.2A(1), namely to invite the Mayor and the Deputy Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis to the Assembly (Plenary) meeting on 9 December 2014.**

3. Background

- 3.1 Due to conflicting diary commitments and following consultation with the Mayor's Office, the Metropolitan Police Commissioner's Office and the Assembly's party Group Leaders, the Chairman announced at July's Assembly (Plenary) meeting that the date of the Plenary meeting in December had been provisionally moved from 3 December to 9 December at 3pm in order to discuss policing in London. All Groups confirmed that they were content with those proposals.

4. Issues for Consideration

- 4.1 Following consultation with party Group Leaders about the December 2014 Plenary meeting, the Chairman wrote to invite the Mayor and the Deputy Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis to the Assembly (Plenary) meeting on 9 December 2014 to discuss policing in London. Both letters are attached as **Appendix 1** and **Appendix 2**.

5. Legal Implications

5.1 The Assembly has the power to do what is recommended in the report.

6. Financial Implications

6.1 There are no financial implications arising from this report.

List of appendices to this report:

Appendix 1 – Letter from the Chairman of the Assembly to the Mayor, dated 23 July 2014.

Appendix 2 – Letter from the Chairman of the Assembly to the Deputy Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis, dated 23 July 2014.

Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985
List of Background Papers: None
Contact Officer: John Barry, Principal Committee Manager
Telephone: 020 7983 4425
E-mail: john.barry@london.gov.uk

LONDONASSEMBLY
Chairman of the London Assembly



City Hall
The Queen's Walk
London SE1 2AA
Switchboard: 020 7983 4000
Minicom: 020 7983 4458
Web: www.london.gov.uk

Our ref :

Your ref :

Date: 23 July 2014

Boris Johnson
Mayor of London
City Hall
The Queens Walk
London, SE1 2AA

Dear Boris

London Assembly Meeting, 9 December 2014 – Question and Answer Session on Policing in London

Further to discussions with your office regarding your availability, I write formally to invite you, in your capacity as Mayor of London, to attend the London Assembly (Plenary) meeting which will take place on 9 December 2014. The purpose of the meeting is for Assembly Members to put questions to you and the Deputy Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis on policing in London.

It is anticipated that the question and answer session with you and the Deputy Commissioner will begin at approximately 3pm and last for up to two and a half hours. Further information regarding areas of questioning will be provided two weeks prior to the meeting. The Assembly would be happy to receive a short opening statement at the meeting from you, of up to five minutes in length.

It is requested that all questions which are submitted but which are not dealt with at the meeting be answered in writing. Please note that Members will seek written answers as soon as possible after the meeting and, in any event, within three clear working days of the meeting.

I have also written in similar terms to the Deputy Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis.

If there is anything else in relation to this session which you wish to discuss, please contact John Barry, Principal Committee Manager, on 020 7983 4425 or at john.barry@london.gov.uk.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Roger Evans', written in a cursive style.

Roger Evans AM

Chairman of the London Assembly

LONDON ASSEMBLY

Chairman of the London Assembly



City Hall
The Queen's Walk
London SE1 2AA
Switchboard: 020 7983 4000
Minicom: 020 7983 4458
Web: www.london.gov.uk

Our ref :

Your ref :

Date: 23 July 2014

Craig Mackey QPM

Deputy Commissioner
Metropolitan Police
New Scotland Yard
Broadway
London
SW1H 0BG

Dear Deputy Commissioner

**London Assembly Meeting, 9 December 2014
Question and Answer Session on Policing in London**

Further to discussions with your office regarding your availability, I write formally to invite you to attend the London Assembly (Plenary) meeting which will take place on 9 December 2014. The purpose of the meeting is for Assembly Members to put questions to you and the Mayor on policing in London.

It is anticipated that the question and answer session with you and the Mayor will begin at approximately 3pm and last for up to two and a half hours. Further information regarding question areas will be provided two weeks prior to the meeting. The Assembly would be happy to receive a short opening statement at the meeting from you, of up to five minutes in length.

I have also written in similar terms to the Mayor.

If there is anything else in relation to this session which you wish to discuss, please contact John Barry, Principal Committee Manager, on 020 7983 4425 or at john.barry@london.gov.uk.

Yours sincerely



Roger Evans AM

Chairman of the London Assembly

This page is intentionally left blank

Subject: Petitions

Report to: London Assembly (Plenary)

Report of: Executive Director of Secretariat

Date: 10 September 2014

This report will be considered in public

1. Summary

- 1.1 This report sets out details of one petition to be presented at this meeting by an Assembly Member.

2. Recommendation

- 2.1 **That the Assembly receives and notes the petition listed at paragraph 4 of this report and decides whether to refer the petition, and if so where to, and seeks a response to the points raised.**

3. Background

- 3.1 Standing Orders 3.20 to 3.21 make provision for the presentation of petitions by an Assembly Member at an ordinary meeting of the Assembly.

A petition to be presented must:

- (a) Be addressed to the Mayor, the Assembly, a Functional Body (as the case may be);
- (b) Clearly indicate the name, address and contact telephone number of the person organising the petition, or where the petition was organised on the internet, its data controller;
- (c) Be presented in the form of printed sheets, each of which includes the “prayer” of the petition (the “prayer” is the formal request or other subject matter of the petition) or, if the petition was organised on the internet, clearly demonstrate that internet users who subscribed to the petition knew what the prayer was;
- (d) Include each petitioner’s name (which may be printed or be in the form of a signature, provided that the signature is legible) and address (sufficient that the person and their address can be identified) or, where the petition was organised on the internet, their names and email addresses;
- (e) Indicate the total number of manual or electronic signatories to the petition.

(f) Young people aged 17 or under signing a petition may give their address as that of the school, or other recognised youth group or similar organisation that they attend (with details of their class name where appropriate), provided that the lead petitioner is a teacher at or leader of that school or youth group or similar organisation.

(g) Indicate the total number of manual or electronic signatories to the petition; and

(h) Refer to matters within the responsibilities of the Mayor, the London Assembly or the functional bodies, or to matters of importance to Londoners, including those who visit, live or work in Greater London.

- 3.2 Notice of the intention to present a petition at an Assembly meeting and a copy of the petition must be given to the Executive Director of the Secretariat by no later than 12 noon six clear working days before that meeting.
- 3.3 Under Standing Orders the Member presenting the petition will read out the prayer of the petition (but not the signatories). The Assembly will not debate the petition. If the Assembly agrees without debate, the petition will be forwarded to the Mayor, Functional Body, relevant committee or other organisation with a request for a response to the points made by the petitioner. The response received will be reported to the Assembly for information and forwarded to the petition's organiser. The prayer of the petition and the response received will be published in the appropriate Assembly Minutes.

4. Petition to be presented

4.1 Notice of the following petition has been received:

4.2 A petition, received by **Richard Tracey AM**, is to be presented to the London Assembly, in accordance with Standing Orders 3.20 to 3.21, saying:

“We the residents living in Roehampton Vale are strongly concerned about the safety for pedestrians and motorists using the pavement on Roehampton Vale often competing with cyclists. Cyclists are unsafe to cycle on the main road with speedy 40mph motorists; that’s why they are cycling on the narrow pavement, competing dangerously with pedestrians, motorist stopping in an emergency and Kingston University students at the bus stop. We consider it will be much safer and the risk of accidents will be reduced if the speed limit between Robin Hood Gate and Tibbets Corner is reduced from 40 to 30mph. We request the support of Wandsworth Council and the Mayor of London in reducing the speed limit to 30 miles per hour.”

The petition has 110 signatories.

The contact person for this petition is: Dr Jehan Baban, 106 Roehampton Vale, London SW15 3RX.

5. Legal Implications

- 5.1 By virtue of sections 59, 34 and 53 of the GLA Act 1999 (as amended), the Assembly has the power to do what is recommended in this report.
- 5.2 Under Standing Order 3.20 the petitions presented to the Assembly, together with the pages containing the names and addresses of the signatories to the petition, are documents to which the access to information rules from sections 100A - H and Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 apply.
- 5.3 Any applications from Members to see the names and addresses of the signatories to the petition will be considered by the Executive Director of the Secretariat on a case by case basis and in accordance with the relevant provisions of the Local Government Act 1972 and the Data Protection Act 1988.

6. Financial Implications

- 6.1 There are no financial implications directly arising from this report.

List of appendices to this report: None.

Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985
List of Background Papers: None.
Contact Officer: John Barry, Principal Committee Manager
Telephone: 020 7983 4425
Email: John.barry@london.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

Subject: Motions	
Report to: London Assembly (Plenary)	
Report of: Executive Director of Secretariat	Date: 10 September 2014
This report will be considered in public	

1. Summary

- 1.1 The Assembly is asked to consider the motions set out which have been submitted by Assembly Members.

2. Recommendation

- 2.1 **That the Assembly considers the motions set out below.**

3. Issues for Consideration

- 3.1 The following motion has been proposed in the name of **Andrew Dismore AM** and will be seconded by **Tom Copley AM**:

“This Assembly considers the London Mayor’s London Rental Standard hardly worth the paper it is written on. It does little to control the worst abuses inflicted by bad landlords on their tenants. As a voluntary document it will only ensure compliance by good landlords who have decent standards in the first place and will be ignored by bad landlords with impunity.

In view of the Government’s cuts to legal aid for almost all housing matters – leaving tenants with little chance of being able to enforce such rights as they do have – stronger and more easily enforceable rights are required, including through an effective London Private Sector Rental Charter.

This Assembly believes London tenants need and deserve such better protection, including:

- A ban on letting agents’ fees for tenants;
- The introduction of long-term three-year tenancies, after a satisfactory 6 month probation period; and
- Predictable rents based on average market rents or inflation, which can only be reviewed per year.

This Assembly also believes that reform of Local Housing Allowance is required to make LHA at a more local level more reflective of market rents to ensure tenants are not overcharged and the public purse exploited by bad landlords who use the LHA to force up the Housing Benefit bill.”

- 3.2 The following motion has been proposed in the name of **Caroline Pidgeon AM** and will be seconded at the meeting:

“This Assembly notes statistics from the National Alzheimer’s Society which show that there are currently 800,000 people with dementia in the UK, with over a million people with dementia expected by 2021, with Healthcare London estimating that in 2008 that there were approximately 64,600 people with dementia in London.

UKwide statistics about dementia also reveal that there are over 17,000 younger people with dementia but predominately it affects people as they grow older, with the proportion of people with dementia doubling for every 5 year age group, and two thirds of people with dementia living in the community.

The Assembly further notes that on the 10th September 2014, the Alzheimer’s Society will launch new dementia prevalence figures and explore the economic cost of dementia in the UK. These new figures have been developed with King's College London and London School of Economics.

The Assembly welcomes the Alzheimer’s Society campaign to ensure that by 2015 there are at least one million people who are Dementia Friends; people who understand a bit more about dementia and the small things that can be done to help people with the condition. The Assembly praises the many individuals and organisations, including major retailers that have already joined this campaign.

The Assembly wishes to see the stigma and misunderstanding towards people with dementia reduced in London and calls on the Greater London Authority and the wider GLA family to offer Dementia Friend training for staff.”

- 3.3 The following motion has been proposed in the name of **Darren Johnson AM** and will be seconded by **Tom Copley AM**:

“This Assembly welcomes the 'Renters manifesto' published by Generation Rent, which would bring considerable improvements to the lives of one in four households in London living in the private rented sector.

The Assembly reaffirms its support for a number of Generation Rent's recommendations, which the Assembly put forward in its 'Rent reform' report in June 2013, including policies to stabilise rents, introduce longer tenancies and end retaliatory evictions.

This Assembly supports further measures proposed by Generation Rent, including:

- longer notice periods for tenants who have lived in a home for a number of years
- banning letting agent fees
- closing loopholes on deposit protection schemes

- increasing the Rent a Room tax allowance
- scaling up the Community Land Trust model to create a large, secondary housing market affordable to Londoners

This Assembly also welcomes proposals from other organisations to introduce longer tenancies as standard, with caps on annual rent increases.

This Assembly also notes with regret the Mayor’s continued involvement with international property fairs such as MIPIM. His support for rich investors to build expensive flats for rich owners and landlords, who in turn let homes on insecure contracts in a dysfunctional rental market, is not providing for the needs of ordinary Londoners.

This Assembly therefore calls on the Mayor to set out his response to the 'Renters manifesto', to consider piloting some of the recommendations in his Housing Zones, and to require its implementation in any deals made at MIPIM.”

List of appendices to this report: None.

<p>Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985</p>

<p>List of Background Papers: None.</p>

<p>Contact Officer: John Barry, Principal Committee Manager</p> <p>Telephone: 020 7983 4425</p> <p>Email: John.barry@london.gov.uk</p>
--

This page is intentionally left blank